

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,251	10/647,251 08/26/2003 Masato Yoshikawa		Q77129	2079	
7	590 11/15/2004	EXAMINER			
	MION, ZINN, MACP ania Avenue, N.W.	CHACKO DAV	CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH		
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
_			1756		

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) VOSHIKAWA ET AL.										
### Examiner Daborah Chacko-Davis 1758 ### The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ### Pariod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR IN THE PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ### THE PRIOR OF THIS COM			Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Daborah Chacko-Davis 1756 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified before is loss that thirty (00) days, a reply white the situatory minimum of thirty (00) days will be considered trenty. If the period for reply specified before is loss that thirty (00) days, a reply white the situatory minimum of thirty (00) days will be considered trenty. If the period for reply specified before is loss that thirty (00) days, a reply white the situatory minimum of thirty (00) days will be considered trenty. If the period for reply specified before is loss that thirty (00) days, a reply white the situatory minimum of thirty (00) days will be considered trenty. If the period for reply specified before is loss that thirty (00) days, a reply white the situatory minimum of thirty (00) days will be considered trenty. If the period for reply specified before is loss that the product of the maining date of this communication. If the period for reply specified to the period of the period date of this communication, even if smally filed, may reduce any extended any cause of the period date of this communication. Status I) Exponentiation is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 24 and 20-28 is fare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is slare allowed. Claim(s) 24. 20-21, 23-25 is fare rejected. 7 Claim(s) 25-28 is/are abjected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on		Office And Co.	10/647,251		YOSHIKAWA ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for the mapty be available used the provisions of 3 CRE 1.18(6). In no event, however, may a reply be firredly filed to the provision of the mapty and the state of the provision of 3 CRE 1.18(6). In no event, however, may a reply be firredly filed to the provision of the state of the provision of the provisi		Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
Period for Reply A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - after 3X (4) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - If the period for mply specified above, the maining date of this communication. - If the period for mply specified above, the maininum statutory period will apply and will against \$X(5) (400H HS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for mply specified above, the maininum statutory period will apply and wi			I							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the processors of 3 CR1 136(a). In no ovent, however, may a reply be kindly filed after 53k (b) MCMTRS from the making date of this commencation, and the file of the commencation of the file of the commencation of the file of the commencation of the file of th	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ddress				
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final.	THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a round for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start ply received by the Office later than three months after the ma	N. 1.136(a). In no e reply within the st od will apply and tute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ornmunication.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 2-4, 20-21, 23-25 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 2-6-28 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 2-6-28 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 2-6-28 is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Datie	Status									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 2-4 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) 2-4, 20-21, 23-25 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) 2-6.28 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 2-6.28 is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) 2-6.28 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No, 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	July 2004.							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 2-2.25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-2.26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Altachment(s) 1 Altachment(s) 2 Opies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1 Opies of Paper No(s)/Mail Date				non-final.						
Al) Claim(s) 2-4 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) 26-28 is/are objected to. 7] Claim(s) 26-28 is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Apper No(s)/Mail Date	,									
4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4. 20-21, 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/		closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte</i> C	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4. 20-21, 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4 Certified copies of the copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 5 Ealert and Tackers Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 11 Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 12 Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 13 Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 15 Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152) 16 Other:	Dispositi	on of Claims								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)			application							
6) Claim(s) 2-4, 20-21, 23-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 26-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 7 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 8 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 9 Online of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
7) Claim(s) 26-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Information Platent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)⊠									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Certified Copies of the Copies of Copies of Copies of Copies Co		6) Claim(s) <u>2-4, 20-21, 23-25</u> is/are rejected.								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Breaten and Treademark Office	8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election	requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892)	Application	on Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 24 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 25 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 26 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 27 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 28 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 39 Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 30 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 31 Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		-	•	·- ·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 Interview Summary (PTO-413) 23 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 24 Interview Summary (PTO-413) 25 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 26 Other:										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	<u> </u>									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date	! ! <i>]</i> [_] !	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *Patent and Trademark Office		☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			-(d) or (f).					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Betent and Trademark Office Retent and Trademark Office	_									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Company Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* S		-	` ''	d.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:										
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:										
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Solution										
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
FOL 000 (B 4.0.1)	3) Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 No(s)/Mail Date	8)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa		-152)				
·			Action Summa	ary	Part of Paper No./Ma	ail Date 1108				

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-4, 20-21, and 23-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 4,846,541 (Miura et al) in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,346,600 (Nieh et al) further in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,741,403 (Tenhover et al).

Miura, in col 1, lines 40-43, in col 2, lines 38-56, and lines 61-64, in col 3, lines 25-35, and in col 4, lines 38-39, discloses a method for controlling the refractive index of a dry plating film that comprises providing a silicon carbide sinter target (starting source), and subjecting the silicon carbide to dry plating (sputtering) while controlling the concentration of the reactive gas (oxygen-containing), and controlling the electric power (maintaining the power at 200 Watts) to the target, thereby forming a thin film primarily made of silicon carbide that has a refractive index ranging from 1.4 - 3.4.

Miura, in col 2, lines 49-52, and in col 3, lines 28-30, discloses that the silicon carbide used as the target (starting source) consists essentially of a silicon carbide sintered product (claims 2, 4, and 20). Miura, in col 1, lines 20-34, and in col 2, lines 19-54, discloses a method of making a dry plating film (built-up) comprising providing a silicon carbide target (starting source), and subjecting the target to a deposition process (dry plating process) while changing (adjusting) the concentration of the reactive gas during

Art Unit: 1756

deposition thereby producing a thin SiC containing film of varying refractive indices (1.4 - 3.4) (claim 25). Miura, in col 3, lines 32-34, and lines 57-59, and in col 4, lines 10, and 14 discloses that the ratio of the reactive gas (oxygen) is within the range of 0 to 20% (claim 3).

The difference between the instant claims and Miura is that Miura does not disclose the sintering of a homogenous mixture that has a density of 2.9g/cm³, and the homogenous mixture is made of silicon carbide powder and a nonmetallic sintering aid. Miura does not disclose that the reactive gas includes a nitrogen-containing gas. Miura does not disclose that the non-metallic sintering aid is selected from coal tar pitch, phenolic resins, furan resins, epoxy resins, glucose, sucrose, cellulose and starch (claim 21). Miura does not disclose that the SiC thin film formed comprises a mixture of SiC, Si₃N₄, SiN, and SiC_xN_y (claim 23). Miura does not disclose that the SiC film formed comprises SiC_xN_y (claim 24).

Nieh, in col 6, lines 33-39, in col 8, lines 59-65, in col 10, lines 32-35, discloses that in sputter deposition processes (SiC) the reactive gases required for creating the plasma includes an inert gas, and a reactive gas such as nitrogen resulting in a film that comprises SiC, and SiC_xN_y.

The difference between the claims and the combination of Miura in view of Nieh is that the combination does not disclose the sintering of a homogenous mixture that has a density of 2.9 g/cm³, and is made of silicon carbide powder and a non-metallic sintering aid.

Art Unit: 1756

Tenhover, in col 5, lines 53-64, in col 8, lines 14-29, and in col. 9, lines 1-30, discloses the sintering of a homogenous mixture of silicon carbide powder and a non-metallic sintering aid to produce a sintered silicon carbide target that has a density of 2.9g/cm³. Tenhover, in col 5, lines 55-62, discloses that a source of an amorphous carbon, an organic resin binder, various dispersants, lubricants or diluents can be used as sintering aids.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Miura by employing nitrogen as the reactive gas as taught by Nieh because Nieh, in col 10, lines 32-35, discloses that it is advantageous to use nitrogen as the reactive gas in reactive sputtering. Although, Miura does not teach that the refractive indices throughout the film varies in a particular waveform, Miura teaches that the film produced has varying indices of refraction throughout the thickness of the film and therefore the SiC film produced by Miura would inherently possess a wave form of thickness variation.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Miura by employing the method of sintering as taught by Tenhover because Miura in col 3, line 29, line 32, and line 58, discloses that a sintered sputter target is preferably used for sputter depositing a silicon carbide film.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 22 is allowable over the prior art of record (U. S. Patent No. 4,846,541 (Miura et al), U. S. Patent No. 5,346,600 (Nieh et al), and U. S. Patent No. 5,741,403 (Tenhover et al)) because the prior art of record does not disclose a method of sputtering a SiC source with a reactive gas to form a thin film, said thin film having

Art Unit: 1756

different refractive indices that changes in a wave form selected from a triangular wave and sine wave in the thickness direction thereof.

4. Claims 26-28, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on July 27, 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The 103 rejection made over claims 2-4, 20-21, and 23-25, is maintained. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed July 27, 2004, with respect to claims 22, and 26-28, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 103 rejection of claim 22 has been withdrawn.
- A) Applicants argue that neither Miura nor Nieh teaches the use of nitrogen as the reactive gas during reactive sputtering.

Miura teaches the use of the same sputtering source, SiC. However, Miura does not teach that the reactive gas used during sputtering is nitrogen. Nieh is depended upon to disclose the use of nitrogen as the reactive sputter gas to form the thin film (see column 10, lines 39-40).

B) Applicants argue that Nieh employs nitrogen for the formation of metal nitrides, and not SiC_xN_y (silicon carbonitrides), and therefore the teachings of Nieh are irrelevant to the objectives and processes of Miura.

Art Unit: 1756

Although Nieh teaches the use of nitrogen containing gases or nitrogen in the formation of metal nitrides, Nieh, in col 10, lines 39-40, also specifically teaches that nitrogen can also be used as the reactive sputter gas in the formation of metal carbonitrides. Therefore, Nieh is relevant to the processes and objectives of the Miura.

C) Applicants argue that Tenhover, in col 5, line 58, discloses only boron, aluminum, and beryllium as sintering aids and not the claimed materials.

Tenhover does not teach the use of beryllium as the sintering aid. Tenhover, in col 5, lines 58-60, teaches that in addition to either boron or aluminum, an organic resin or a source of carbon can be used as a non-metallic sintering aid.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1756

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dcd

November 9, 2004.

JOHNA MOPHERSON **PRIMAPM**

JOHN A. MCPHERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER